

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
07/28/2003	William Wayne Cimino	2171CON	7109	
590 07/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Chief Patent Counsel United States Surgical		WILLIAMS, CAT	WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
urgicai yco Healthcare Group LP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
enue		3763		
	07/28/2003 90 07/28/2005 Counsel urgical yco Healthcare Group LP	07/28/2003 William Wayne Cimino 90 07/28/2005 Counsel urgical yoo Healthcare Group LP enue	07/28/2003 William Wayne Cimino 2171CON EXAM Counsel WILLIAMS, CAT urgical yco Healthcare Group LP enue 3763	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

81
08'

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Com	10/628,673	CIMINO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Catherine S. Williams	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/628,673

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-13, 16-22, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applebaum et al.

Appelbaum discloses a portable base having an upper portion, a display head mounted to the upper portion of the base, an ultrasonic tissue fragmentation device 19, an aspiration system 19, an irrigation system 19, a power system, and a control system. The display head includes flat panel display 5 and touch screen 255. The fragmentation system 19 has a cable with a terminal (module 13). The aspiration and irrigation systems 19 are considered to be coupled to the fragmentation system 19 in light of the fact that multiple microsurgical instruments 19 can be attached with corresponding modules to the console and interact with on another through joint circuits. The modules are attached via pin connectors (connector pattern) to the base 7. The module has programs within the housing (memory). It is considered inherent that the system has a frequency generator since the phacoemulsification power level and phacoemulsification pulse rate can be controlled (14:10+). The system includes a tissue release function and/or laproscopic function in that the surgeon has control using the foot pedal or touch screen (control system) to end (or stop powering) a proceedure(s) (i.e. aspiration) at any time while continuing or not continuing other proceedures (i.e. fragmentation).

Appelbaum meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the display being adjustable. The courts have held that adjustablility where needed is not a patentable advance. In this case, it would have been obvious to make the display adjustable in order for multiple healthcare workers to access the monitor without having to move the device thereby increasing the safety to the patient from inadvertent movement.

Claims 5-6, 14-15 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appelbaum et al in view of Andrew et al.

Appelbaum meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the irrigation system having a cooling system and a fluid control device.

Andrew discloses an apparatus for liquefaction and aspiration that includes the use of a cooled solution for irrigation. It is considered inherent that the system will also have a fluid control device since the device also has a heated irrigation solution and administration of the two solutions is tightly controlled to prevent undue heating of surrounding tissue.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to incorporate the device of Andrew into the invention of Appelbaum in order to provide a system that would provide enhanced safety to the patient.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2192.

Catherine S. Williams

July 22, 2005